For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	EROTIC SERVICE PROVIDER LEGAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PROJECT, ET AL.,
10	Plaintiffs,
11	ORDER OF DISCLOSURE v.
12	GEORGE GASCON, ET AL.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	
16	The Court HEREBY ADVISES the parties that the undersigned's son is a Deputy City
17	Attorney with the San Francisco City Attorney's Office, which represents Defendant in this case.
18	Although the undersigned and his son have not had any contact or communications about this
19	matter, out of an abundance of caution, the Court issues this Order in the event any party believes
20	the undersigned should recuse himself from this matter. The Court also advises the parties that, in
21	connection with a previous case, the Court sought a formal opinion from the Committee on Codes of
22	Conduct of the Judicial Conference of the United States, regarding a request for recusal. The
23	Committee issued a thorough opinion outlining the circumstances in which recusal might be
24	warranted, and it found that recusal was not required in that case. The Court will make a copy of
25	that letter available to any party upon request.
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.

JEFFRE S WAITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: July 7, 2015

28